

Criterion	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
Introduction					
Writes introduction and submits on time (2-3 pages, typed).					
Clear reflection on the field of AMST/information collected.					
Annotation 1	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 2	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 3	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 4	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 5	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					

AMST Journals Zotero Annotation Rubric

Annotation 6	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 7	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 8	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 9	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Annotation 10	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
1. Clear summary of the mission/focus, including first & last issue.					
2. Sponsoring organization/publisher correctly noted.					
3. Clear summary articles/author range. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
4. Clear summary of journal's objects of study. Claims supported by clear evidence from full range of the journal's publication history.					
5. Clear summary of methods/disciplinary approaches. Claims supported by evidence from full range of journal's publication history.					
6. Citation style noted and explained.					
Three tags appropriately selected and correctly included in Zotero					
Style (Expressiveness):	Superior	Good	Average	Poor	Unacceptable
Annotations contain few surface errors, none of which undermines the overall effectiveness for educated readers.					
Annotations demonstrate fluency in stylistic flourishes.					